The Honorable Thomas S. Zilly 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 9 AARON WILLIAMS, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, No. 3:19-cv-05282-TSZ 10 MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE Plaintiff, 11 **SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION IN SUPPORT** v. 12 OF DEFENDANT PILLPACK PILLPACK LLC, LLC'S OPPOSITION TO CLASS 13 **CERTIFICATION** Defendant. 14 NOTE ON MOTION CALENDAR: September 18, 2020 15 16 I. INTRODUCTION 17 Defendant PillPack LLC ("PillPack") respectfully requests leave to file the Supplemental Declaration of Daniel J. Barsky in Support of PillPack LLC's Opposition to Class Certification, 18 19 attached as **Exhibit 1** ("Supplemental Barsky Declaration"), which was recently provided to PillPack by a third party. The Supplemental Barsky Declaration both corrects and supplements 20 the Declaration of Daniel J. Barsky in Support of PillPack LLC's Opposition to Class 21 Certification (Dkt. 69) filed with PillPack's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Class 22 Certification ("Opposition to Class Certification") (Dkt. 60) on August 21, 2020. Good cause 23 exists for the Court to grant leave and consider the Supplemental Barsky Declaration because PillPack did not receive the declaration until yesterday, August 31, 2020, the information in it is 25 material to PillPack's Opposition to Class Certification, and Plaintiff has an adequate 26 27 opportunity to review and respond to the declaration's contents. PILLPACK'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE Davis Wright Tremaine LLP SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION

(3:19-cv-05282-TSZ) - 1

Davis Wright Tremaine LLP LAW OFFICES 920 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3300 Seattle, WA 98104-1610 206.622.3150 main · 206.757.7700 fax II. BACKGROUND

On August 21, 2020, PillPack submitted its Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Class Certification. *See* Dkt. 60. In support, PillPack filed the Declaration of Daniel J. Barsky in Support of PillPack LLC's Opposition to Class Certification ("Original Barsky Declaration"), provided by the General Counsel of third-party Fluent, Inc., and its wholly owned subsidiaries ("Fluent"). *See* Dkt. 69. Yesterday, August 31, 2020, Mr. Barsky provided PillPack with the Supplemental Barsky Declaration, which both supplements and corrects the Original Barsky Declaration. *See* Exhibit 1. Mr. Barsky informed PillPack's undersigned counsel that due to resource constraints at Fluent, he was unable to provide the additional information in the Supplemental Barsky Declaration by the August 21, 2020, deadline for PillPack's Opposition to Class Certification, and that he executed the Supplemental Barsky Declaration as soon as that information was available to him.

The Supplemental Barsky Declaration supports PillPack's argument that individualized issues of consent predominate. *See* Dkt. 60 at 10-13. Read together with the Original Barsky Declaration, it demonstrates that over 15,800 calls reflected in the Prospects DM call records on which Plaintiff relies were placed to individuals who provided prior express written consent within the meaning of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act ("TCPA") to be called by Support First on Fluent's websites. *See* Exhibit 1 ¶¶ 4-7; Dkt. 69 ¶¶ 6-10. The Supplemental Barsky Declaration further identifies four specific examples of consumers who, through various Fluent websites, provided TCPA-compliant consent to receive calls from Support First and whose phone numbers appear in the Prospects DM call records. *See* Exhibit 1 ¶ 8. Additionally, the Supplemental Barsky Declaration corrects an error in the Original Barsky Declaration's description of the campaign in which Fluent provided Yodel with over 5 million leads who had consented to receive marketing calls from Support First. *See id.* ¶ 2 (correcting ID number used to reference lead generation campaign discussed in both declarations).

Plaintiff will have an opportunity to review and respond to the Supplemental Barsky Declaration, as Plaintiff's reply to PillPack's Opposition to Class Certification is due September 4, 2020. *See* Dkt. 27.<sup>1</sup>

## III. ARGUMENT

When a party seeks to supplement the record with respect to a prior filing, courts in this district determine whether "good cause" exists to consider the additional evidence. *See, e.g.*, *Rygg v. Hulbert*, 2013 WL 1755921, at \*1 n.1 (W.D. Wash. Apr. 24, 2013) (Robart, J.) (granting motion for leave to supplement the record upon finding "good cause" to consider supplemental evidence); *Hartman v. United Bank Card, Inc.*, 2012 WL 4792926, at \*1-2 (W.D. Wash. Oct. 9, 2012) (Lasnik, J.) (applying Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(4) "good cause" standard to motion to supplement record with respect to class certification motion), *rev'd on other grounds by* 2013 WL 12120424 (W.D. Wash. Jan. 2, 2013); *Cascade Yarns*, 2014 WL 4925487, at \*2 (Martinez, J.) (applying "good cause" standard to motion to supplement record with respect to summary judgment motion). Here, good cause exists for the Court to consider the Supplemental Barsky Declaration on numerous grounds.

First, PillPack is diligently providing the Supplemental Barsky Declaration to the Court and Plaintiff within one day of receiving it. See Exhibit 1 (executed on August 31, 2020). At no fault of PillPack's, the information in the Supplemental Barsky Declaration was not available by the August 21, 2020, deadline for PillPack's Opposition to Class Certification or before yesterday, August 31, 2020. The short delay in providing the Supplemental Barsky Declaration was beyond PillPack's control and due to resource constraints at third-party Fluent.

*Second*, Plaintiff will not be prejudiced by the delayed filing of the Supplemental Barsky Declaration. PillPack is providing the Supplemental Barsky Declaration to Plaintiff in advance of Plaintiff's September 4, 2020, deadline to file a reply to PillPack's Opposition to Class

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> PillPack is also producing an unredacted version of the Supplemental Barsky Declaration to Plaintiff upon the filing of this Motion.

Certification. Plaintiff, therefore, will have an opportunity to respond to the Supplemental Barsky Declaration in his reply.

*Third*, PillPack's filing of the Supplemental Barsky Declaration will not delay the Court's consideration of class certification because Plaintiff's Motion for Class Certification and the related briefing are not yet ripe for review. *See* Dkt. 29 (noted on motion calendar for September 4, 2020).

Fourth, the information in the Supplemental Barsky Declaration is material to the Court's consideration of the class certification briefing in this case. The Supplemental Barsky Declaration demonstrates that individuals within Plaintiff's class definition provided TCPA-compliant consent through Fluent's websites to receive calls from Support First. See Exhibit 1 ¶ 4-8; Dkt. 69 ¶ 6-9. It also shows that the roughly 15,900 calls Fluent identified in Prospects DM's call records to phone numbers of individuals who provided such consent are just the tip of the iceberg, as Fluent analyzed only four of nine Prospects DM call record files and compared those records to only "one of several campaigns" in which Fluent provided leads to Prospects DM's lead source Yodel. See Exhibit 1 ¶ 3-7. As explained in PillPack's Opposition to Class Certification, individualized inquiries are necessary to determine, at a minimum, whether each person who provided Fluent with their phone number also provided TCPA-compliant consent to be called, whether Prospects DM called each of these consumers under the dba "Support First" (or instead called under "Senior Help Advisors," as it did in the calls to Plaintiff), and whether each consumer who provided consent was the same person whom Prospects DM called. See Dkt. 60 at 11.

On motions to supplement the record with respect to summary judgment, courts in this district have observed that "public policy favors the disposition of matters on their merits and on the most complete available and admissible record." *Cascade Yarns, Inc. v. Knitting Fever, Inc.*, 2014 WL 4925487, at \*2 (W.D. Wash. Sept. 30, 2014) (citing *In re Phenylpropanolamine (PPA) Prods. Liab. Litig.*, 460 F.3d 1217, 1228 (9th Cir.2006) (en banc)). "By a similar token, disposition of a case on a more complete record must be preferred to disposition on a less PILL PACK'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE."

complete record." Block v. Solis, 2010 WL 2079688, at \*9 (W.D. Wash. May 20, 2010). The same principles apply here. A complete record will assist the Court in deciding whether a class 2 action is an appropriate vehicle for adjudicating Plaintiff's claims. 3 IV. **CONCLUSION** 4 5 For the foregoing reasons, PillPack respectfully requests the Court grant PillPack leave to file the Supplemental Barsky Declaration and consider the Supplemental Barsky Declaration 6 with respect to PillPack's Opposition to Class Certification. 7 8 DATED this 1st day of September, 2020. 9 DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP 10 11 By: s/ Sara A. Fairchild Kenneth E. Payson, WSBA #26369 12 Email: kenpayson@dwt.com 13 Lauren B. Rainwater, WSBA #43625 Email: laurenrainwater@dwt.com 14 Sara A. Fairchild, WSBA #54419 Email: sarafairchild@dwt.com 15 920 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3300 Seattle, Washington 98104-1610 16 Telephone: (206) 622-3150 17 Facsimile: (206) 757-7700 18 Attorneys for Defendant PillPack LLC 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 PILLPACK'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** I hereby certify that on this day I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to all counsel of record. DATED this 1st day of September, 2020. s/ Sara A. Fairchild Sara A. Fairchild, WSBA #54419 

## **EXHIBIT 1**

The Honorable Ronald B. Leighton 1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 7 AARON WILLIAMS, on behalf of himself and 8 all others similarly situated, No. 3:19-cy-05282-RBL 9 Plaintiff, **SUPPLEMENTAL** DECLARATION OF DANIEL J. 10 v. BARSKY IN SUPPORT OF PILLPACK LLC'S OPPOSITION 11 PILLPACK LLC, TO CLASS CERTIFICATION 12 Defendant. 13 14 I, Daniel J Barsky, hereby declare as follows: 15 1. I am the General Counsel, Chief Compliance Officer, and Secretary for Fluent, 16 Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiaries (collectively "Fluent"). The information in this 17 Declaration supplements a Declaration I previously supplied on August 21, 2020 (the 18 "Original Declaration") and is based on my own personal knowledge. I submit this 19 Supplemental Declaration in connection with the complaint in Williams v. PillPack. 20 2. I discovered that the reference to the "Yodel 10863 Campaign" in the Original 21 Declaration was meant to refer to the Yodel campaign with a Campaign ID of 10865; all 22 information provided in the Original Declaration related to the Yodel 10865 Campaign. 23 3. The Yodel 10865 Campaign is one of several campaigns conducted by Fluent 24 for Yodel during 2018 and the first half of 2019. 4. 25 Pillpack provided Fluent with nine files in CSV format with approximately 26 500,000 records in each file (each a "CSV File") from spreadsheets bearing the following Bates 27 Stamps: ProspectsDM 000036 through ProspectsDM 000044. BARSKY DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF PILLPACK'S OPPOSITION TO CLASS CERTIFICATION (3:19-cv-05282) - 1

5. I requested a member of Fluent's IT team to determine how many of the records in four of the CSV Files were included in the approximately 5 million leads (the "Sold Leads") sold to Yodel in the Yodel 10865 Campaign described in the Original Declaration.

6. Each of the Sold Leads included data only of users who had provided their prior express written consent within the meaning of the TCPA, through the process described in the Original Declaration. Fluent has many pieces of data on each user including, for example, name, email address, telephone number, user's IP address, the landing URL of the Fluent website and the date/time stamp when TCPA Consent was granted.

7. The following are the results of comparing the records in the four CSV Files with records in the Sold Leads:

Bates Stamp	No. of Records in both CSV File and Sold Leads		
ProspectsDM_000036	3,908		
ProspectsDM_000037	3,963		
ProspectsDM_000038	5,686		
ProspectsDM_000039	2,340		

8. The following are select user data, one from each of the four CSV Files, who were in both the CSV File and the Sold Leads:

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		

Prospec tsDM File	Name	Email Address	Telephone Number	User IP Address	Landing URL	Date/Time Stamp of TCPA Cousent
000036	Denona	@mail,	0226		electronicproductzon e.com/	4/17/18 12:02 PM
000037	Kathie	(Qyahoo.com	4119		instantplaygiveawaye niry.com/	8/11/18 2:00 PM
000038	Tonya	@gmail.com	0295		www.retailproductzo ne.com	5/20/18 2:16 AM
000039	Jon	(i)charter.net	0179		retailproductzone.co m	4/2/18 9:53 AM

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 31st day of August, 2020, at Seaview (Fire Island), New York.

Daniel J **B**arsk